

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office (ddtess COMMISSE NER FOR PATENTS) POR BOATS OF STATE OF STA

APPLICATION NO	FIEING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09-643,939	08/22/2000	Palani Raj R. Wallajapet	KCX-274(15145)	1403	
22827	7590 06/30/2003				
DORITY & MANNING, P.A.			EXAMINER		
POST OFFIC GREENVILI	E BOX 1449 .E, SC - 29602-1449		HALPERS	HALPERN, MARK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1731		
			DATE MAILED: 96/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	· . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/643,979	WALLAJAPET ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Halpern	1731			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE N Exter after If the If NO Failur Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION, issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuteply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digital term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	136(a) In no event, however, may a rooty within the statutory minimum of thin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the cause the application to become AB	reply on timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	May 2003 .				
2a)⊠		his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-69</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-69</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovance. See 37 CER 1.85(a)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 9	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
1	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen						
2) Notic	o of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

1) Acknowledgement is made of Amendment received 5/21/2003. Applicants cancel claims 1-35, and introduce new claims 36-69, for consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2) Claims 36-65, 67-69, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson (5,651,862).

Claims 36, 47, 53, 58, 61, 69: Anderson discloses a process wherein a cellulosic fibrous material and a superabsorbent material are slurry combined to make a wetformed composite product (col. 3, lines 17-50). The superabsorbent material disclosed is capable of absorbing up to 100 times or more of its weight in water (col. 3, lines 50-55). The wet-formed composite is of a basis weight from about 20 grams per square meter (col. 5, lines 34-50). The superabsorbent material is swellable up to ten times its weight (col. 4, lines 24-46). The composite is dried in drier 64 (col. 10, lines 1-9 and Figure 9). The superabsorbent material comprises less than ten weight percent of the product (col. 5, lines 13-23). The superabsorbent material comprises 5 weight percent of the product (col. 5, lines 13-23). This also reads on the upper limit of "about 3% by

Art Unit: 1731

weight" of the present invention range. The composite may be a tissue product (col. 6, lines 34-40).

Claims 37, 54: the superabsorbent material is provided in a dry state (col. 8, lines 28-32).

Claims 38-40, 42, 55-56, 65: the superabsorbent material is swellable up to ten times its weight (col. 4, lines 24-46). The superabsorbent material comprises 0.005 to about 3.0 weight percent of the solution (col. 5, lines 1-10).

Claims 41, 64: the superabsorbent material comprises 5 weight percent of the product (col. 5, lines 13-23). This reads on the upper limit of "about 3% by weight" of the present invention range.

Claims 43, 57: a wet strength agent is added to the process (col. 5, lines 7-10).

Claim 44: the paper web is softened (col. 12, lines 13-20).

Claims 45-46, 62-63: the moisture content of the product is from 0 to about 25 weight percent (col. 10, lines 15-20).

Claim 48: superabsorbent material and cellulosic fibrous material are combined in the headbox (col. 8, line 13 to col. 10, line 9, and Figures 7-9).

Claims 49-51, 59, 68: the superabsorbent material includes guar gum, pectin agar (col. 3, lines 55-60), and may be in the form of particles, fibers, spheres (col. 4, lines 9-13).

Claims 52, 60, 67: the paper web formed is dried using a through-air-dryer (col.10, lines 10-16).

Art Unit: 1731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Anderson in view of Nielsen (6,416,624). Anderson is applied as above for claim 61, Anderson fails to disclose that the absorbed tissue product contains multiple plies. Nielsen discloses an absorbent multiple plies tissue (col. 7, lines 25-32). It would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Anderson and Nielsen, because such a combination would produce a multiple plies product of lower water content, thus reduce the cost of drying of the web of design of Anderson, as disclosed by Nielsen (Abstract).

Response to Amendment

4) Claims 1-35, rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson, is withdrawn in view of cancelled claims.

Conclusion

5) Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1731

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 703-305-4522. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7718 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Art Unit: 1731

June 20, 2003

MM

Mark Halpern Patent Examiner Art Unit 1731

STEVEN P. GMPPH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED FECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 Page 6